

29 Vitlapura Urumundinakere	49 Sankighatta tank
30 Thaggikuppe Thubinakere	50 Bagingere Urumundinakere
31 Bomenahalli jodi tank	51 Doddamudigere tank
32 Uddanahalli Ayyanakere	52 Huluvenahalli Basavanakere
33 Ramanahalli tank	53 Honnapura tank
34 Kansandra Sorekatta	54 Shivasandra Harnakatte
35 Hoshalli Chikkere	55 Hujigal Gowdanakatte
36 Lakkenahalli jodi tank	56 Doddasomanahalli tank
37 Channenahalli tank	57 Janagere Lingapainakere
38 Honaganalli Kurubanakere	58 Machohalli Yellegowdanakere
39 Kurubarhalli Hoskere	59 Kenchanahalli Urumundinakere
40 Huluvenhalli Chikkere	60 Hulikal Hirekere
41 Sulivara tank	61 Sirigiripura Doddakere
42 Chikkanahalli Settykere	62 Kodihalli Malliahnakere
43 Pangamaranahalli tank	63 Biskur Doddakere
44 Chikkellur tank	64 Kenkere tank
45 Yelachiguppe Thammanakere	65 Chowdibegur Hoskatte
46 Ajjanapalya Gowdanakere	66 Kannur tank
47 Thavrekere Doddakere	67 Adranji Kanvagowdankatte
48 Mayasandra tank	68 Kuttigere Urumundinakere

MYSORE PARLIAMENTARY SECRETARIES ALLOWANCE BILL 1963.

(introduced).

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—Sir, I introduce the Mysore Parliamentary Secretaries Allowances Bill, 1963, which has been published in the Mysore Gazette.

† **Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA** (Hadagalli).—Whether this Bill could be introduced at all is my doubt. My contention is that it is not properly published. Of course, as I see, it has been published under Rule 61, probably it must be Rule 64. Already, an amendment to Mysore Ministers Salaries and Allowances Bill of 1963 has been published on 5th April 1963. Under the rules, you know Sir, that there cannot be a motion by the measure of the Bill without giving seven clear days notice, either for discussion or for passing. Now, it has been decided that the Assembly will have to be adjourned from the 11th of this month, and now it is already 9th. So, when there is already an amending Bill, which has been published and which could be moved by the Chief Minister and for passing, may I know where was the necessity for altogether bringing a fresh Bill? Is it the idea to give up the Bill? If it is decided to give up, who is responsible for the loss of money spent in publishing? There is already one amending Bill which could have fulfilled the purpose. Where was the necessity to bring in a new Bill altogether?

MR. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble member has said all that he wanted to say, that another Bill has been introduced, that this Bill has been

(MR. SPEAKER)

published under a wrong rule, that if this Bill is to be introduced, it becomes infructuous, who is to bear the loss and so on. What is now sought is not the consideration or the passing of a Bill somebody wants to bring a Bill.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.—Where is the hurry for introduction?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question of time and hurry does not come under a point of order. If they are entitled to introduce, they are entitled to do so, whether leisurely or hurriedly.

Sri ANGADI CHANNABASAPPA.—For one and the same purpose, could there be several Bills?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Introduction stage is something different.

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I beg to introduce the Mysore Parliamentary Secretaries Allowances Bill, 1963, which has been published in the Mysore Gazette Extraordinary dated 8th April 1963 under rule 64 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Legislative Assembly.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore Parliamentary Secretaries Allowances Bill, 1963 is introduced.

MYSORE MUNICIPALITIES BILL, 1962.

Motion to Consider

(Debate continued)

†ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ (ಸಿರಾ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಸ್ವಲ್ಪಯು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ವಿಧೇಯಕದ ಮೇಲೆ ನಿನ್ನೆ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಸರಕಾರ ಎಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲ ತನಗೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೋ ಅಲ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕೈ ಹಾಕಬೇಕಾ, ಸ್ವಲ್ಪಯು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಕೆಲಸ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕುರಿತಪಡಿಸಬೇಕು, ತಮಗೇ ಆಗದೇ ಇದ್ದವರ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಮಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲ ಆಗುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ವಿಧೇಯಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ನನ್ನೆ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತನಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ವೇಳೆನಿಪ್ಪತ್ತು ತಯಾರಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಂತೆ ಒಬ್ಬ ವೋಟುದಾರ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಗೆದ್ದು ಬಂದರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳೋಣ, ಆ ರೀತಿ ಗೆದ್ದು ಬಂದ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲರಾಗಿ ಆನಂತರ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ ಅದರ ಎಂದು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳೋಣ ಅಂತಹವರು ತಮಗೆ ಆಗದವರು ಎಂದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಾಗ ಏನಾದರೂ ಅವ ವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಅವರನ್ನು ಅಧಿಕಾರದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತನ್ನ ಕೈಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಈ ಕಾಜನ್ನು ಓದಿ ನೋಡಿದರೆ ತಮಗೇ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ತಾವು ಇಷ್ಟು ದೂರ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೇನೋ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಹೇಗಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಂತಹವರನ್ನು ಅಧಿಕಾರದಿಂದ ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಿಂದಲ್ಲವೇ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಈ ರೀತಿ ತೋರಿಸಿರುವುದು? ತಾವೆಲ್ಲ ಬ್ರಾಹ್ಮಣವೆ, ವಕೀಲರೂ ವದೇವದ್ದರೂ ಆಗಿರುವವರು ಮುಂದೆ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ, ಸುಪ್ರೀಂಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಲು ಅರ್ಹರಾದವರು, ಹೀಗೇಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ನಾನೇನೋ ಎಜುಕೇಷನಲ್ ದೃಷ್ಟಿವರ್ಧಕ.